

Queens Cívic Congress

P.O. Box 238, Flushing, NY 11363 (718) 225-2807 fax: (718) 225-2818 www.queensciviccongress.org <u>queensciviccongr@aol.com</u>

President Executive Vice Presidents Secretary Treasurer Sean M. Walsh Corey Bearak Patricia Dolan Seymour Schwartz James Trent

Founders Vice Presidents

Robert Harris Edwin Westley Richard Hellenbrecht Paul Kerzner Nagassar Ramgarib

Albert Greenblatt David Kulick Audrey Lucas Tyler Cassell Dorothy Woo

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Contact: TUESDAY, April 24, 2007 Corey Bearak (718) 343-6779

QUEENS CIVIC CONGRESS OPPOSES WATER & SEWER TAX HIKE, April 24, 2007

Presented and Prepared by
Corey B. Bearak, Esq.
Executive Vice President for Public & Legislative Affairs

Thank you, on behalf of the Queens Civic Congress, for the opportunity to testify on our continuing concerns that water rates increase without any real oversight. I am Corey Bearak, Executive Vice President of this umbrella group that represents 100 civic, cooperative, condo, tenant and other community associations throughout the borough of Queens. Our membership represents almost every community in the borough. We oppose this double digit 11.5% rate hike which effectively serves as another tax hike and represents an abdication when it comes to securing the support New Yorkers should expect from Washington and Albany. At a time when one of our brightest local lights chairs the House Ways and Means Committee and many other Members hold other senior posts in Congress the lack of any plan to capture appropriate federal aid and relief staggers the mind. The Queens Civic Congress questions why City Hall lets Washington off the hook. Further, the \$2.5 billion for Croton and UV for Cat-Del might be better allocated to more land purchases and conservation easements; where property owners become recalcitrant, the state must step in for the City which gave up its right to use condemnation as part of the watershed agreements forged with the State and upstate communities. And the annual rental payment to the city from the Water Board, what Oueens Civic Congress President Sean Walsh called a "Ponzi scheme," covers all but one percent of the rate hike. Stop it!

These reasons remain compelling examples why the Queens Civic Congress opposes these water tax hikes. The Queens Civic Congress finds that these consistent annual increases in water – and sewer — rates place a hardship on homeowners, many seniors living on fixed incomes, cooperatives and small businesses. The Water Board must adopt policies that keep the rates as low as possible, while delivering clean drinking water and providing for safe disposal of wastewater. While we commend the record on quality water delivery and efforts to upgrade wastewater disposal, the Water Board and our City fathers consistently get failing grades on rate-setting The proposed rate increase looks particularly gouging with the enormous surplus the Water Board projects.

The 11.5% rate increase follows a scheme of hikes throughout all but two times over - next page, please -

nearly three decades. This occurs because current water system funding scheme fails to recognize the essential linkage between property taxes, which once subsidized much of the water and sewer system, and these water use taxes. The shift towards full funding of the water and sewer system through the water rates did not result in any corresponding property tax reduction. Rising property tax bills and water use taxes represent significant costs to property owners. Mayor Bloomberg's property tax rebate for homeowners reflects knowledge of the upset that New Yorkers express at these regressive taxes; both impact New Yorkers who can least afford any increases. City Hall continues to ask homeowners and renters to pay more for the same services whose rising prices have yet to be justified by the Water Board. View this proposed increase in context as one in a series of continuing increases; since metering began in the 1980's, the cost of New Yorkers' water increased more than four-fold. (450%).

The Water Board and the administration it serves seems to limit discussion to assumptions that dictate an outcome that results in annual rate hikes. In the context of those assumptions, the technicians get an "A" for work done well. The problem remains that dependence on these assumptions allow policy-makers to avoid the reviews that could reduce the systems costs and reduce our rates.

First, this water tax uniquely factors in the capital costs for building a new water supply and delivery and treatment facilities plus the transfer of pre-1982 infrastructure bond debt. Including these capital costs -- much greater than actual operating expenses -- in calculating the water rate perpetuates a regressive practice not used by any other portion of the city for funding capital items. Furthermore, many of these expenses - 70% of the project capital costs over the next five years - result from Federal and some state mandates. As we stated in prior annual testimonies and in our platform, rather than make ratepayers carry this burden, the City and its water board ought to seek appropriate federal and state relief. It's only fair.

Second, the New York City capital budget should fund the capital costs for water supply and treatment facilities. In our platform, the Queens Civic Congress continues to question a filtration plant in a park when the time exists to pursue filtration avoidance measure in the Croton Watershed and advocate for the Federal reforms needed to empower New York City to implement these cost effective alternatives. New York City and its water board owe a duty to its taxpayers and citizens, not to upstate special interests for whom we effectively subsidize development, when we acquiesce in a building a filtration plant for the Croton system.

Third, the water board and the city must acknowledge Water and Sewer charges as a use tax dedicated to the maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and the city water supply. Use taxes traditionally regulated cost as well as promoted conservation. In New York City, it exemplifies back-door funding for capital work, set <u>outside</u> of the normal budgeting process.

This forms the basis of our fervent objection to the practice of setting rates before the City adopts its budget. Our platform, found on our website, www.queensciviccongress.org, specifically calls for this reform. In previous sessions the State Assembly passed A.04824/S.2513, introduced by Assembly Member Mark Weprin and proposed by former Borough President Fernando Ferrer (when I served as his legislative counsel), to address this inequity; the Ways and Means Committee already reported the bill and it had its third reading.

Queens Civic Congress Budget testimony to the NYC Water Board April 24, 2007, page three of four

It remains pending in the State Senate where Kevin Parker had been the prime sponsor, and should be passed. The state bill addresses the failed local attempt to impose this reform: Int. No. 72-A, which I co-authored and negotiated, and the City Council passed in 1994 only to be vetoed by Mayor Giuliani. Int. No. 72-A resulted in the Water Board passing a resolution to delay its rate-setting effective in calendar 1999. In December 1997, the Water Board and the Giuliani administration broke the 1994 agreement and voted to rescind this resolution. We continue to support and advocate this important reform. It simply makes sense to empower the City Council to influence the rates set by the Water Board. It would create a greater incentive to economize and expand water conservation efforts. Also, it should encourage more New Yorkers to express their concerns about the City's water and sewer programs.

Better oversight might shed light on the water system's rental payments to the city, a clear subsidy by ratepayers to the general fund. Few know about the agreement which enables the city to pocket \$135.9 million in rental payments in this fiscal year 2007 (source Water Board's Blue Book. Page 25) and projected at \$154.8 million in the next fiscal year. That amount increased by nearly \$19 million – talk about back door taxes. These funds come out of the charges we pay. And for about every \$15 million, the rates could be reduced about 1 percent. Eliminating the current rental payment erases any need for the increase. Last year's payment would have funded the rescission of all but 0.36% of the rate hike. Add Croton and its related pork projects and save more, and cut the rates further.

Finally, the water board needs to get on board and support efforts to ease the impacts of rate increases on our seniors who lived on fixed incomes. The Queens Civic Congress supports legsislation which would provide a tax levy subsidy to households 65 or older and with incomes below the current Senior Citizens Homeowner Exemption eligibility limit to help offset the increasing water rates. Modeled on SCRIE and SCHE, this program developed by Ferrer and Queens Assembly Member Ann Margaret Carrozza (just over seven years ago) would help seniors keep housing costs in check, enable them to stay in their homes and continue to be an important neighborhood stabilizer. We urge the Water Board's support to help get the Senate as well as the Assembly to act in the current session.

In closing, we oppose any rate hike, support a rate rollback, and urge support for the legislative and program initiatives outlined above. Thank You.

-30-

[A chart indicating rate increases since 1980 follows on the next page]

The entire Queens Civic Congress Platform may be viewed on the internet at: http://www.queensciviccongress.org/Platform/final2004Platform-forvote.pdf

The next Queens Civic Congress meeting takes place Monday, May 21, at Vaughn College.

Queens Civic Congress Members

Association of Old Forest Hills
Auburndale Improvement Association
Bayside Civic Database
Bayside Clear-Spring Council
Bayside Hills Civic Association
Bayswater Civic Association
Community Council
Bayswater Civic Association
Baysw

Taxpayers Association ◆ Forest Hills Chamber of Commerce ◆ Forest Hills Crescents Association ◆ Forest Hills-Van Court Association ◆ Fresh Meadows Homeowners Association ♦ Georgetown Mews ♦ Glendale Civic Association of Queens ♦ Glen Oaks Village Owners, Inc. ♦ Greater Astoria Historical Society ♦ Greater Whitestone Taxpayers Civic Association ♦ Harding Heights Civic Association ♦ Hillcrest Estates Civic Association ♦ Hilltop Village Co-Op #1 ♦ Hilltop Village Co-Op #2 ♦ Hilltop Village Co-Op #3 ♦ Hilltop Village Co-Op #4 ♦ Hollis Hills Civic Association ♦ Holliswood Civic Association ♦ Hollis Park Gardens Civic Association ♦ Holly Civic Association ♦ Hunters Point Community Coalition ◆ Hyde Park Gardens Cooperative ◆ Jackson Heights Beautification Group ◆ Jamaica Estates Association ◆ Jamaica Hill Community Association ♦ Juniper Park Civic Association ♦ Kew Forest Neighborhood Association ♦ Kew Gardens Civic Association ♦ Kew Gardens Hills Homeowners Association ♦ Kissena Park Civic Association ♦ Little Neck Bay Civic Association ♦ Little Neck Pines ♦ Malba Civic Association ◆ Meadowlark Gardens Owners ◆ Middle Village Maspeth Civic Association ◆ Middle Village Property Owners Association ◆ Mitchell Linden Civic Association ◆ Neponsit Property Owners Association ◆ Newtown Civic Association ◆ North Bellerose Civic Association ◆ North Flushing Civic Association ◆ North Hills Estates Civic Association ◆ Northwest Clearview Homeowners Association ◆ Norwood Civic Association ◆ Oakland Terrace/ Gardens Community Council ◆ Off Broadway Homeowners Association ◆ Our Neighborhood Improvement Association ◆ Parkway Village Historical Society ◆ Queensboro Hill Neighborhood Association ◆ Queens Colony Civic Association ◆ Queens Community Civic Corp. ◆ Queens Village Civic Association ◆ Ramblersville-Hawtree Civic Association ◆ Richmond Hill Historical Society ♦ Ridgewood Property Owners and Civic Association ♦ Rockaway Action Committee ♦ Rockaway Park Homeowners/Residents ◆ Rocky Hill Civic Association ◆ Rosedale Civic Association ◆ Royal Ranch Association. ◆ Southeast Queens Concerned Neighbors ♦ South Ozone Park West Civic Association ♦ Springfield/Rosedale Community Action Association ♦ Station Road Civic Assoc. of Auburndale ◆ Sunnyside Gardens/Harrison Place Homeowners ◆ Surrey Estates Civic Association ◆ Union Turnpike Merchants Association ♦ United Forties Civic Association ♦ United Neighbors Civic Association ♦ Utopia Estates Civic Association Waldheim Neighborhood Association ◆ Wayanda Civic Association ◆ West Cunningham Park Civic Association ◆ Westmoreland Association ♦ Woodside Community Council

Queens Civic Congress Budget testimony to the NYC Water Board April 24, 2007, page four of four

Appendix A

Water and Sewer Rate Increases

Date/FY Meter Sewer Total

Dateri		MCCCI	CCWCI	Total
		change	Charge	Rate**
		%	%	Increase
	1980)	25%)
	1981		33%)
	1982	<u> </u>	33%)
	1983		50%	
	1984		50%	
	1985		60%	
40	1986		60%	
18	987*	9.9%		
	1988			
1000	1989			
1990,		7.8%		
1990,				
	1991		– , .	
	1992			
	1993			
	1994 1995			
	1996			
	1997			
	1998			
	1999			
	2000			
	2001			
	2002			
	2003			
	2004	5.5%	159%	
	2005	5.5%		
	2006	3%	159%	3.0%
	2007	9.4%	159%	9.4%